Fire risk report for *Alopecurus pratensis*

Full Species NameAlopecurus pratensis L.Family: PoaceaeCommon names:meadow foxtailSynonyms:	0I.5Lowest risk⇔This species is likely a low fire risk score of 0.25.This species was ranked by our algorithm using the data preserpredicted score of > .34 suggesrisk.	machine learning nted on the next page. A	
Known occurrences (as of 2020)	Summary of Fire ecology		
View first de currente des resturalized	Native habitat fire proneness	Non Fire-prone	
	Fire promoting plant in its native range	No	
	Fire promoting plant in its introduced range*	No	
Year first documented as naturalized in Hawai'i: 2016 This species has not yet been ranked by the Hawai'i Weed Risk Assessment program as of 2020.	Regenerates after fire	Yes	
	Promoted by fire	No Data	
View photos on Starr Environmental	Reported flammable*	No Data	
View on Wikipedia	Relative is flammable* No	No	
View occurrences on iNaturalist			
View at Plants of Hawaii View photos on Flickr	*These values were used by the model to predict fire risk		

Detailed summary of Fire Ecology

Native habitat fire proneness (In any part of the plant's native range is its habitat described as fire prone due to natural or human caused fires?)	Non Fire- prone	"Barkworth et al. (2007) describes A. pratensis as growing in poorly to somewhat drained soils in meadows, riverbanks, lakesides, ditches, roadsides and fence rows." https://www.cabi.org/isc/datasheet/4361
Fire promoting plant in its native range (Does the species act as a major fuel source, increase fire severity, frequency, or modify fuel bed characteristics within its native range?)	No	
Fire promoting plant in its introduced range (Same as Fire Promoting Native but within the species introduced range)	No	"In Oregon it has been seen to invade emergent wetlands, moist meadows, ditches, streams, grasslands, fields and abandoned areas (OSU Rangeland Ecology and Management, 2005)." https://www.cabi.org/isc/datasheet/4361
Regenerates after fire (Does the plant regrow after fire by any means? This includes resprouters, reseeders, and recruiters which dispersed into the area within approximately one year post fire)	Yes	"Nevertheless, two nonnative plant species that were present in unburned areas, field foxtail (Alopecurus pratensis) and timothy, a widespread nonnative species in the coastal hemlock-spruce region (Heutte and Bella 2003), also established within burned areas. Fall fires may encourage the spread of established populations of timothy in beetle outbreak areas, as late season fire stimulates growth, production of reproductive tillers, and increased seed production in this plant species in other geographical regions (Esser 1993a). Fire response information is unavailable for field foxtail" https://www.fs.fed.us/rm/pubs/rmrs_gtr042_6/rmrs_gtr04 2_6_197_224.pdf Anzinger, D., & Radosevich, S. R. (2008). Fire and nonnative invasive plants in the Northwest Coastal bioregion. In: Zouhar, Kristin; Smith, Jane Kapler; Sutherland, Steve; Brooks, Matthew L. Wildland fire in ecosystems: fire and nonnative invasive plants. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-42-

		vol. 6. Ogden, UT: US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. p. 197-224, 42.
Promoted by fire (Does the plant increase in abundance after a fire?)	No Data	
Reported flammable (Is the species described as being flammable, being a major wildfire fuel, or high fire risk?)	No Data	#When dry, like other grasses, it is likely highly flammable.
Relative is flammable (Does a plant in the same genus meet the Reported Flammable criteria?)	No	"[Genus includes] aquatic grasses" Wyoming Agricultural Experiment Station, Beetle, A. A., Lang, R. L., & Barnes, O. K. (1952). Grass Establishment on Wyoming Dryland.

Text in quotes are direct quotes from the source

Text in square brackets was added by the assessor to clarify something or to summarize from a figure. Text preceded by a "#" is comment from the assessor

The data presented were assembled from literature and database searches for each species using as much data as could be collected regarding the plant's fire ecology under natural conditions. Searches aimed to be exhaustive and consist of as much data as could be located in 2020. Our machine learning algorithm was trained on 49 species of plants which had their fire risk ranked by 49 managers in Hawai'i in November 2020. The model used a conditional random forest regression algorithm to predict scores for each species using the manager score as the response variable and the fire ecology traits of each plant as the predictor variables to generate a fire risk score. This trained model was then used to predict the fire risk for all species which were not ranked by managers. The model was calibrated such that it is 90% accurate at predicting high fire risk plants and 79% accurate at predicting low fire risk plants. This research and the resulting fire risk model has been published in the journal <u>Biological Invasions</u> by <u>Kevin</u> <u>Faccenda</u> and <u>Curt Daehler</u> (both at the University of Hawai'i at Mānoa).

Note that the analysis doesn't account for a plant species' spatial distribution, population density, or distinct climate and ecosystem conditions (which can also influence fire risk). The fire risk of these species are mostly under "worst case" environmental conditions where the climate is dry enough to maintain fire, but wet enough to allow for plant growth and fuel accumulation. The fire risk ranking should not be taken as a stand-alone risk metric in prioritizing weed control

efforts. Rather, this information may also be useful for determining if a newly discovered species poses a potential fire threat in wildland areas.

More general information on the weed risks and ecology of non-native plants in Hawai'i is available from the Hawai'i Invasive Species Committee's <u>Weed Risk Assessment database</u>.

View more fact sheets at https://www.pacificfireexchange.org/weed-fire-risk-assessments

Fact sheet prepared by Kevin Faccenda (<u>faccenda@hawaii.edu</u>) in November 2021. Data were prepared by Ronja Steinbach and Kevin Faccenda in 2020.

This research was funded by the Department of the Interior Pacific Islands Climate Adaptation Science Center. The project described in this publication was supported by Grant or Cooperative Agreement No.G20AC00073 to Curt Daehler from the United States Geological Survey. The views

and conclusions contained in this document are those of the authors and should not be interpreted as representing the opinions or policies of the U.S. Geological Survey. Mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute their endorsement by the Pacific Islands Climate Adaptation Science Center or the National Climate Adaptation Science Center or the US Geological Survey.

