## Fire risk report for Cenchrus elegans

Full Species Name	0	1	.5	1		
	Lowest risk		$\Leftrightarrow$	Highest risk		
Family: Poaceae	This species is likely a <b>low</b> fire risk in Hawai'i with a fire					
<b>Common names:</b> burgundy giant foxtail bamboo	risk score of <b>0.31</b> . This species was ranked by our machine learning algorithm using the data presented on the next page. A predicted score of > .34 suggests the plant is a high fire risk.					
Synonyms: Pennisetum macrostachys						
Pennisetum macrostachyum	Summary of Fire ecology					
Known occurrences (as of 2020)	Native habit	at fire pror	neness	Fire-prone		
	Fire promot native range	ing plant in e	its	No		
	Fire promot introduced r	ing plant in range*	its	No		
	Regenerates	after fire		No Data		
in Hawai'i: 2011 This species has been ranked by the Hawai'i Weed Risk Assessment	Promoted b	y fire		No Data		
program as High Risk with a score of 7.	Reported fla	immable*		No Data		
View photos on Starr Environmental	Relative is fl	ammable*		Yes		
View occurrences on iNaturalist	*These values were used by the model to predict fire risk					
View at Plants of Hawaii						
View photos on Flickr						

Detailed summary of Fire Ecology

Native habitat fire proneness (In any part of the plant's native range is its habitat described as fire prone due to natural or human caused fires?)	Fire- prone	<ul> <li>"[Native in tropics: Malesia and Papuasia]" http://plantsoftheworldonline.org/taxon/urn:lsid:ipni.org:n ames:77142633-1</li> <li>"Habitat — Sunny, infertile soil, slopes, along hollow roads, Imperata fields, gravel beds, savannahs, 0–2130 m altitude."</li> <li>#Imperata is highly flammable and typically occurs in areas which burn frequently https://doi.org/10.3767/000651914X684376</li> <li>Veldkamp, J. "A Revision of Cenchrus Incl. Pennisetum (Gramineae) in Malesia with Some General Nomenclatural Notes." Blumea 59 (2014): 59–75.</li> <li>"The main pioneer grasses, colonising abandoned garden land, are the two Imperata species, I. conferta and I. cylindrica; usually there are other, more robust species: Coelorhachis rottboellioides, Ophiuros tongcalingii, Pennisetum macrostachyum [=C. elegans] and Polytoca macrophylla. The sprawling species, Microstegium spectabile and Eulalia leptostachys, may also be present. In wetter areas, the introduced, creeping, Paspalum con juga tum may cover the ground at first, but it is finally overgrown by taller species. In some localities, Saccharum spontaneum may be prominent. In the early stages of grassland establishment, a variety of woody plants survive for a while, but these are reduced as the years pass, with regular burning."</li> <li>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-009-8632-9_19</li> <li>Henty, E. E. (1982). Grasslands and grassland succession in New Guinea. In Biogeography and ecology of New Guinea (pp. 459-473). Springer, Dordrecht.</li> </ul>
Fire promoting plant in its native range (Does the species act as a major fuel source, increase fire severity, frequency, or modify fuel bed characteristics	No	

within its native		
Fire promoting plant in its introduced range (Same as Fire Promoting Native but within the species introduced range)	No	not widely introduced, data not expected
Regenerates after fire (Does the plant regrow after fire by any means? This includes resprouters, reseeders, and recruiters which dispersed into the area within approximately one year post fire)	No Data	
Promoted by fire (Does the plant increase in abundance after a fire?)	No Data	
Reported flammable (Is the species described as being flammable, being a major wildfire fuel, or high fire risk?)	No Data	#likely, but no data
Relative is flammable (Does a plant in the same genus meet the Reported Flammable criteria?)	Yes	"Fuels: Buffelgrass [Cenchrus ciliaris] fine fuel loads are generally much higher than fine fuel loads from native plants in desert environments. Thus, fires in buffelgrass stands may have longer flame lengths, greater rates of spread, and higher temperatures than fires in native desert vegetation, and cause high mortality in native flora and fauna [43]. Buffelgrass stands burn "very hot" [24] and can burn when green [42,129]. In the Sonoran Desert, buffelgrass-fueled fires can reach temperatures so hot that the soil is scorched and the bedrock cracked [42]. Headfires in buffelgrass stands can reach temperatures of 1,090 to 1,300 °F (585-700 °C) [27,103]. Esque and others [42] state that buffelgrass grows into an "almost-woody subshrub", accumulating flammable material over several years, "in effect unlinking fire frequency from annual climatic variability and increasing the fire intensity"."

https://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/plants/graminoid/penc il/all.html#FIRE%20ECOLOGY
"Buffel grass invasion was significantly correlated with increased fuel loads. Increased fuel loads were significantly correlated with increased burn severity, although the direct relationship between the proportion of buffel grass and increased burn severity was marginally non-significant." Miller, G., Friedel, M., Adam, P., & Chewings, V. (2010). Ecological impacts of buffel grass (Cenchrus ciliaris L.) invasion in central Australia–does field evidence support a fire-invasion feedback?. The Rangeland Journal, 32(4), 353- 365.

Text in quotes are direct quotes from the source

Text in square brackets was added by the assessor to clarify something or to summarize from a figure. Text preceded by a "#" is comment from the assessor

The data presented were assembled from literature and database searches for each species using as much data as could be collected regarding the plant's fire ecology under natural conditions. Searches aimed to be exhaustive and consist of as much data as could be located in 2020. Our machine learning algorithm was trained on 49 species of plants which had their fire risk ranked by 49 managers in Hawai'i in November 2020. The model used a conditional random forest regression algorithm to predict scores for each species using the manager score as the response variable and the fire ecology traits of each plant as the predictor variables to generate a fire risk score. This trained model was then used to predict the fire risk for all species which were not ranked by managers. The model was calibrated such that it is 90% accurate at predicting high fire risk plants and 79% accurate at predicting low fire risk plants. This research and the resulting fire risk model has been published in the journal <u>Biological Invasions</u> by <u>Kevin</u> <u>Faccenda</u> and <u>Curt Daehler</u> (both at the University of Hawai'i at Mānoa).

Note that the analysis doesn't account for a plant species' spatial distribution, population density, or distinct climate and ecosystem conditions (which can also influence fire risk). The fire risk of these species are mostly under "worst case" environmental conditions where the climate is dry enough to maintain fire, but wet enough to allow for plant growth and fuel accumulation. The fire risk ranking should not be taken as a stand-alone risk metric in prioritizing weed control efforts. Rather, this information may also be useful for determining if a newly discovered species poses a potential fire threat in wildland areas.

More general information on the weed risks and ecology of non-native plants in Hawai'i is available from the Hawai'i Invasive Species Committee's <u>Weed Risk Assessment database</u>.

View more fact sheets at <a href="https://www.pacificfireexchange.org/weed-fire-risk-assessments">https://www.pacificfireexchange.org/weed-fire-risk-assessments</a>

Fact sheet prepared by Kevin Faccenda (<u>faccenda@hawaii.edu</u>) in November 2021. Data were prepared by Ronja Steinbach and Kevin Faccenda in 2020.

This research was funded by the Department of the Interior Pacific Islands Climate Adaptation Science Center. The project described in this publication was supported by Grant or Cooperative Agreement No.G20AC00073 to Curt Daehler from the United States Geological Survey. The views

and conclusions contained in this document are those of the authors and should not be interpreted as representing the opinions or policies of the U.S. Geological Survey. Mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute their endorsement by the Pacific Islands Climate Adaptation Science Center or the National Climate Adaptation Science Center or the US Geological Survey.

