## Fire risk report for Cenchrus tribuloides

Full Species Name Cenchrus tribuloides L.Family: PoaceaeCommon names: dune sandburSynonyms:	0I.5Lowest risk⇔This species is likely a low fire rrisk score of 0.31.This species was ranked by ouralgorithm using the data presepredicted score of > .34 suggesrisk.	machine learning nted on the next page. A	
Known occurrences (as of 2020)	Summary of Fire ecology		
	Native habitat fire proneness	Non Fire-prone	
	Fire promoting plant in its native range	No	
	Fire promoting plant in its introduced range*	No	
Year first documented as naturalized in Hawai'i: 1992 This species has not yet been ranked by the Hawai'i Weed Risk Assessment program as of 2020.	Regenerates after fire	No Data	
	Promoted by fire	No Data	
View photos on Starr Environmental	Reported flammable*	No Data	
View on Wikipedia View occurrences on iNaturalist	Relative is flammable* Yes	Yes	
View at Plants of Hawaii View photos on Flickr	*These values were used by the model to predict fire risk		

Detailed summary of Fire Ecology

Native habitat fire proneness (In any part of the plant's native range is its habitat described as fire prone due to natural or human caused fires?)	Non Fire- prone	"Sites: Xeric grassland (grasses, herbs and vines) on tops of stable or shifting dunes and ridges that are well-elevated above highest flood mark, plus intermittently xeric/mesic sites behind foredunes and between adjacent (parallel) backdunes farther inland. " https://dc.statelibrary.sc.gov/bitstream/handle/10827/3017 9/DNR_Natural_Communities_of_SC_1986- 2.pdf?sequence=1 #Source does not list fire for this section, it does for other communities, therefore, the implication is that the habitat is not fire prone. Nelson, J. B. (1986). The natural communities of South Carolina. South Carolina State Documents Depository.
Fire promoting plant in its native range (Does the species act as a major fuel source, increase fire severity, frequency, or modify fuel bed characteristics within its native range?)	No	No Data
Fire promoting plant in its introduced range (Same as Fire Promoting Native but within the species introduced range)	No	
Regenerates after fire (Does the plant regrow after fire by any means? This includes resprouters, reseeders, and recruiters which dispersed into the area within approximately one year post fire)	No Data	

Promoted by fire (Does the plant increase in abundance after a fire?)	No Data	
Reported flammable (Is the species described as being flammable, being a major wildfire fuel, or high fire risk?)	No Data	
Relative is flammable (Does a plant in the same genus meet the Reported Flammable criteria?)	Yes	"Following invasion, this weed species forms dense thickets. The dense growth habit of this rhizomatous grass has the potential to carry the fire rapidly across broad areas and change the fire regime of the ecosystem, where fire does not normally play important role. Like most others, this grass penetrates the soil, and hence, fire has minimal negative effect on this grass and it recovers very quickly. Recurrent fire in the area maintains C. ciliaris populations and the ecological result is the conversion of native scrub communities to African type Savannah with reduced native biological diversity" https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-642- 14255-0_3 Goel, S., Singh, H. D., & Raina, S. N. (2011). Cenchrus. In Wild crop relatives: genomic and breeding resources (pp. 31-52). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.

Text in quotes are direct quotes from the source

Text in square brackets was added by the assessor to clarify something or to summarize from a figure. Text preceded by a "#" is comment from the assessor

The data presented were assembled from literature and database searches for each species using as much data as could be collected regarding the plant's fire ecology under natural conditions. Searches aimed to be exhaustive and consist of as much data as could be located in 2020. Our machine learning algorithm was trained on 49 species of plants which had their fire risk ranked by 49 managers in Hawai'i in November 2020. The model used a conditional random forest regression algorithm to predict scores for each species using the manager score as the response variable and the fire ecology traits of each plant as the predictor variables to generate a fire risk score. This trained model was then used to predict the fire risk for all species which were not ranked by managers. The model was calibrated such that it is 90% accurate at predicting high fire risk plants and 79% accurate at predicting low fire risk plants. This research

and the resulting fire risk model has been published in the journal <u>Biological Invasions</u> by <u>Kevin</u> <u>Faccenda</u> and <u>Curt Daehler</u> (both at the University of Hawai'i at Mānoa).

Note that the analysis doesn't account for a plant species' spatial distribution, population density, or distinct climate and ecosystem conditions (which can also influence fire risk). The fire risk of these species are mostly under "worst case" environmental conditions where the climate is dry enough to maintain fire, but wet enough to allow for plant growth and fuel accumulation. The fire risk ranking should not be taken as a stand-alone risk metric in prioritizing weed control efforts. Rather, this information may also be useful for determining if a newly discovered species poses a potential fire threat in wildland areas.

More general information on the weed risks and ecology of non-native plants in Hawai'i is available from the Hawai'i Invasive Species Committee's <u>Weed Risk Assessment database</u>.

View more fact sheets at https://www.pacificfireexchange.org/weed-fire-risk-assessments

Fact sheet prepared by Kevin Faccenda (<u>faccenda@hawaii.edu</u>) in November 2021. Data were prepared by Kevin Faccenda in 2020.

This research was funded by the Department of the Interior Pacific Islands Climate Adaptation Science Center. The project described in this publication was supported by Grant or Cooperative Agreement No.G20AC00073 to Curt Daehler from the United States Geological Survey. The views

and conclusions contained in this document are those of the authors and should not be interpreted as representing the opinions or policies of the U.S. Geological Survey. Mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute their endorsement by the Pacific Islands Climate Adaptation Science Center or the National Climate Adaptation Science Center or the US Geological Survey.

