Fire risk report for Dichanthium annulatum

Full Species NameDichanthium annulatum (Forssk.)StapfFamily: PoaceaeCommon names:	0 I Lowest risk This species is likely risk score of 0.72 .	.5 ⇔ a high fire i	1 Highest risk risk in Hawai'i with a fire	
Angleton grass	This species was ranked by our machine learning algorithm using the data presented on the next page. A predicted score of > .34 suggests the plant is a high fire			
Synonyms:	risk.			
Known occurrences (as of 2020)	Summary of Fire ecology			
(as of 2020)	Native habitat fire	proneness	Fire-prone	
	Fire promoting pla native range	nt in its	Yes	
	Fire promoting pla introduced range*	nt in its	No	
Year first documented as naturalized in Hawai'i: 1940	Regenerates after	fire	Yes	
This species has not yet been ranked by the Hawai'i Weed Risk Assessment program as of 2020.	Promoted by fire		Yes	
	Reported flammab	le*	High	
View photos on Starr Environmental				
View on Wikipedia	Relative is flammable*		Yes	
View occurrences on iNaturalist				
View at Plants of Hawaii	*These values were used by the model to predict fire risk		nodel to predict fire risk	
View photos on Flickr				

Detailed summary of Fire Ecology

Native habitat fire proneness (In any part of the plant's native range is its habitat described as fire prone due to natural or human caused fires?)	Fire- prone	"The tropical grassland ecosystem is semi-natural, maintained by grazing, scraping and burning [lists D. annulatum as occuring]" https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1556/ComEc.6.20 05.2.2.pdf Srivastava, R., & Singh, K. P. (2005). Species diversity in dryland and irrigated agroecosystems and marginal grassland ecosystem in dry tropics. Community Ecology, 6(2), 131-141.
Fire promoting plant in its native range (Does the species act as a major fuel source, increase fire severity, frequency, or modify fuel bed characteristics within its native range?)	Yes	"The grassland stand of 50 x 25 m size was dominated by Dichanthium annulatum [describe it as burning easily]" #if D. annulatum is dominant, it must be flammable for the site to burn https://insa.nic.in/writereaddata/UpLoadedFiles/PINSA/Vol 43B_1977_6_Art03.pdf PANDEY, A. (1977). NITROGEN (C/N) RATIO OF SOIL IN A DICHANTHIUM ANNULATUM. In Proc. Indian natn. Sci. Acad (Vol. 43, No. 213-218 Part B).
Fire promoting plant in its introduced range (Same as Fire Promoting Native but within the species introduced range)	No	#likely, but no data
Regenerates after fire (Does the plant regrow after fire by any means? This includes resprouters, reseeders, and recruiters which dispersed into the area within approximately one year post fire)	Yes	 "Results indicate that summer burning can produce higher mortality rates in Kleberg bluestem than both winter burning and control treatments. However, both burning treatments increased seedling recruitment over control treatments. Furthermore, burning in either summer or winter did not affect individual plant production. These results suggest neither summer nor winter burning is effective for control of Kleberg bluestem as a single treatment, although summer burning is a better choice than winter burning." Toomey, A. E. (2015). Effects of seasonal prescribed burning on Kleberg bluestem (Dichanthium annulatum) in south Texas (Doctoral dissertation, Texas A&M University-Kingsville). https://search.proquest.com/openview/a0dc5e9db3a83bd db5f38f50c9b4b018/1?pq-

Promoted by fire (Does the plant increase in abundance after a fire?) Reported flammable (Is	Yes	G8i1wZwXtHzEW5inSrzqR_Qqk6BSPswtMYyM "Early successional communities of the evacuated lands viz., Dichanthium annulatum-Dimeria ornithopoda, Dichanthium annulatum-Themeda triandra and Dichanthium annulatum- Heteropogon contortus communities have been changed into Dimeria ornithopoda-Panicum montanum, Heteropogon contortus-Dimeria ornithopoda and Themeda triandraBothriochloa odorata community types have shown the influence of grazing and fire on community structure and tend to develop middle successional stages. These grasslands were subjected to low grazing pressure and repeated annual fire which developed into fire resistant community types consisting of less palatable and fire resistant grass species" https://uknowledge.uky.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=18 82&context=igc Pandey, R. K. (2020). Grassland management in wildlife protected areas (PA's) in India. "Burning was done in two cycles (annual and biennial) and in two seasons of the year, i.e. winter and summer. Considerable beneficial effect on plant population was observed in B. intermedia, D. annulatum and H. contortus." #full text of this article was not accessible https://www.cabdirect.org/cabdirect/abstract/2002306185 7 Gupta, J. N., & Trivedi, B. K. (2001). Impact of fire on rangeland species. Range Management and Agroforestry, 22(2), 237-240. "
the species described as being flammable,	T IIGIT	Dichanthium annulatum [describe it as burning easily]"

being a major wildfire fuel, or high fire risk?)		 #if D. annulatum is dominant, it must be flammable for the site to burn https://insa.nic.in/writereaddata/UpLoadedFiles/PINSA/Vol 43B_1977_6_Art03.pdf PANDEY, A. (1977). NITROGEN (C/N) RATIO OF SOIL IN A DICHANTHIUM ANNULATUM. In Proc. Indian natn. Sci. Acad (Vol. 43, No. 213-218 Part B).
Relative is flammable (Does a plant in the same genus meet the Reported Flammable criteria?)	Yes	"Silky Blue-grass is regarded as a species that produces foliage of flammability." http://www.vicveg.net.au/sysReportRender.aspx?RepName =vvPlantNote&RepFormat=HTML4.0&RepPage=0&RepExpo rt=N&LinkTarget=_parent¶mCMA=0¶mSpeciesNo =1032¶mSiteURL=http://www.vicveg.net.au/

Text in quotes are direct quotes from the source

Text in square brackets was added by the assessor to clarify something or to summarize from a figure. Text preceded by a "#" is comment from the assessor

The data presented were assembled from literature and database searches for each species using as much data as could be collected regarding the plant's fire ecology under natural conditions. Searches aimed to be exhaustive and consist of as much data as could be located in 2020. Our machine learning algorithm was trained on 49 species of plants which had their fire risk ranked by 49 managers in Hawai'i in November 2020. The model used a conditional random forest regression algorithm to predict scores for each species using the manager score as the response variable and the fire ecology traits of each plant as the predictor variables to generate a fire risk score. This trained model was then used to predict the fire risk for all species which were not ranked by managers. The model was calibrated such that it is 90% accurate at predicting high fire risk plants and 79% accurate at predicting low fire risk plants. This research and the resulting fire risk model has been published in the journal <u>Biological Invasions</u> by <u>Kevin</u> <u>Faccenda</u> and <u>Curt Daehler</u> (both at the University of Hawai'i at Mānoa).

Note that the analysis doesn't account for a plant species' spatial distribution, population density, or distinct climate and ecosystem conditions (which can also influence fire risk). The fire risk of these species are mostly under "worst case" environmental conditions where the climate is dry enough to maintain fire, but wet enough to allow for plant growth and fuel accumulation. The fire risk ranking should not be taken as a stand-alone risk metric in prioritizing weed control efforts. Rather, this information may also be useful for determining if a newly discovered species poses a potential fire threat in wildland areas.

More general information on the weed risks and ecology of non-native plants in Hawai'i is available from the Hawai'i Invasive Species Committee's <u>Weed Risk Assessment database</u>.

View more fact sheets at https://www.pacificfireexchange.org/weed-fire-risk-assessments

Fact sheet prepared by Kevin Faccenda (<u>faccenda@hawaii.edu</u>) in November 2021. Data were prepared by Kevin Faccenda in 2020.

This research was funded by the Department of the Interior Pacific Islands Climate Adaptation Science Center. The project described in this publication was supported by Grant or Cooperative Agreement No.G20AC00073 to Curt Daehler from the United States Geological Survey. The views

and conclusions contained in this document are those of the authors and should not be interpreted as representing the opinions or policies of the U.S. Geological Survey. Mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute their endorsement by the Pacific Islands Climate Adaptation Science Center or the National Climate Adaptation Science Center or the US Geological Survey.

