Fire risk report for Disakisperma dubia

Full Species NameDisakisperma dubia (Kunth)P.M.Peterson & N.SnowFamily: PoaceaeCommon names:Synonyms:	risk score of (This species v algorithm usi).70 . vas rank ng the d	ed by our ata preser	1 Highest risk risk in Hawai'i with a fire machine learning nted on the next page. A ts the plant is a high fire	
Leptochloa dubia	Summary of Fire ecology				
Known occurrences (as of 2020)	Native habit	at fire pr	oneness	Fire-prone	
Year first documented as naturalized in Hawai'i: 2010 This species has not yet been ranked by the Hawai'i Weed Risk Assessment program as of 2020.	Fire promoti native range	- ·	in its	Yes	
	Fire promoti introduced r	• •	in its	No	
	Regenerates	after fir	e	No Data	
	Promoted by	/ fire		No Data	
	Reported fla	mmable	*	High	
View photos on Starr Environmental	Relative is fla	ammable	<u></u> *	No	
View on Wikipedia					
View occurrences on iNaturalist	*These values were used by the model to predict fire risk				
View at Plants of Hawaii					
View photos on Flickr					

Detailed summary of Fire Ecology

Native habitat fire proneness (In any part of the plant's native range is its habitat described as fire prone due to natural or human caused fires?)	Fire- prone	"fireprone sandhill grasses (Schizachyrium scoparium) and green sprangletop (Leptochloa dubia), two native grasses of the Florida sandhill community" https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02059812 Fischer, N. H., Williamson, G. B., Weidenhamer, J. D., & Richardson, D. R. (1994). In search of allelopathy in the Florida scrub: The role of terpenoids. Journal of Chemical Ecology, 20(6), 1355–1380.
Fire promoting plant in its native range (Does the species act as a major fuel source, increase fire severity, frequency, or modify fuel bed characteristics within its native range?)	Yes	"fireprone sandhill grasses (Schizachyrium scoparium) and green sprangletop (Leptochloa dubia), two native grasses of the Florida sandhill community" https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02059812 Fischer, N. H., Williamson, G. B., Weidenhamer, J. D., & Richardson, D. R. (1994). In search of allelopathy in the Florida scrub: The role of terpenoids. Journal of Chemical Ecology, 20(6), 1355–1380.
Fire promoting plant in its introduced range (Same as Fire Promoting Native but within the species introduced range)	No	
Regenerates after fire (Does the plant regrow after fire by any means? This includes resprouters, reseeders, and recruiters which dispersed into the area within approximately one year post fire)	No Data	
Promoted by fire (Does the plant increase in abundance after a fire?)	No Data	#many studies sow seeds of this species after doing a controlled burn, but I could not find any saying whether the species naturally recruited after these burns
Reported flammable (Is the species described as being flammable,	High	"fireprone sandhill grasses (Schizachyrium scoparium) and green sprangletop (Leptochloa dubia), two native grasses of the Florida sandhill community" https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02059812

being a major wildfire fuel, or high fire risk?)		Fischer, N. H., Williamson, G. B., Weidenhamer, J. D., & Richardson, D. R. (1994). In search of allelopathy in the Florida scrub: The role of terpenoids. Journal of Chemical Ecology, 20(6), 1355–1380.
Relative is flammable (Does a plant in the same genus meet the Reported Flammable criteria?)	No	

Text in quotes are direct quotes from the source

Text in square brackets was added by the assessor to clarify something or to summarize from a figure. Text preceded by a "#" is comment from the assessor

The data presented were assembled from literature and database searches for each species using as much data as could be collected regarding the plant's fire ecology under natural conditions. Searches aimed to be exhaustive and consist of as much data as could be located in 2020. Our machine learning algorithm was trained on 49 species of plants which had their fire risk ranked by 49 managers in Hawai'i in November 2020. The model used a conditional random forest regression algorithm to predict scores for each species using the manager score as the response variable and the fire ecology traits of each plant as the predictor variables to generate a fire risk score. This trained model was then used to predict the fire risk for all species which were not ranked by managers. The model was calibrated such that it is 90% accurate at predicting high fire risk plants and 79% accurate at predicting low fire risk plants. This research and the resulting fire risk model has been published in the journal <u>Biological Invasions</u> by <u>Kevin</u> <u>Faccenda</u> and <u>Curt Daehler</u> (both at the University of Hawai'i at Mānoa).

Note that the analysis doesn't account for a plant species' spatial distribution, population density, or distinct climate and ecosystem conditions (which can also influence fire risk). The fire risk of these species are mostly under "worst case" environmental conditions where the climate is dry enough to maintain fire, but wet enough to allow for plant growth and fuel accumulation. The fire risk ranking should not be taken as a stand-alone risk metric in prioritizing weed control efforts. Rather, this information may also be useful for determining if a newly discovered species poses a potential fire threat in wildland areas.

More general information on the weed risks and ecology of non-native plants in Hawai'i is available from the Hawai'i Invasive Species Committee's <u>Weed Risk Assessment database</u>.

View more fact sheets at https://www.pacificfireexchange.org/weed-fire-risk-assessments

Fact sheet prepared by Kevin Faccenda (<u>faccenda@hawaii.edu</u>) in November 2021. Data were prepared by Kevin Faccenda in 2020.

This research was funded by the Department of the Interior Pacific Islands Climate Adaptation Science Center. The project described in this publication was supported by Grant or Cooperative Agreement No.G20AC00073 to Curt Daehler from the United States Geological Survey. The views

and conclusions contained in this document are those of the authors and should not be interpreted as representing the opinions or policies of the U.S. Geological Survey. Mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute their endorsement by the Pacific Islands Climate Adaptation Science Center or the National Climate Adaptation Science Center or the US Geological Survey.

