## Fire risk report for *Eucalyptus paniculata*

Full Species Name Eucalyptus paniculata Sm.Family: MyrtaceaeCommon names: gray ironbarkSynonyms:	0I.5Lowest risk⇔This species is likely a high fire risk score of 0.52.high fire riskThis species was ranked by our algorithm using the data prese predicted score of > .34 sugges risk.	machine learning nted on the next page. A	
Known occurrences (as of 2020)	Summary of Fire ecology		
	Native habitat fire proneness	Fire-prone	
	Fire promoting plant in its native range	No	
	Fire promoting plant in its introduced range*	No	
	Regenerates after fire	Yes	
	Promoted by fire	No Data	
	Reported flammable*	High	
View photos on Starr Environmental			
View on Wikipedia	Relative is flammable* Yes	Yes	
View occurrences on iNaturalist			
View at Plants of Hawaii	*These volues were used by the model to predict fire side		
View photos on Flickr	*These values were used by the model to predict fire risk		

Detailed summary of Fire Ecology

Native habitat fire proneness (In any part of the plant's native range is its habitat described as fire prone due to natural or human caused fires?)	Fire- prone	"[table 1. fire-proneness of community ranked as] control burn during survey and a prior history of burning" Law, B., Mackowski, C., Schoer, L., & Tweedie, T. (2000). Flowering phenology of myrtaceous trees and their relation to climatic, environmental and disturbance variables in northern New South Wales. Austral Ecology, 25(2), 160-178.
Fire promoting plant in its native range (Does the species act as a major fuel source, increase fire severity, frequency, or modify fuel bed characteristics within its native range?)	No	Seems to be little evidence of fire proness of individual Australian species as the whole community is flammable and will burn regardless. the habitat question has a citation showing this is a dominant species in a area with a history of fire.
Fire promoting plant in its introduced range (Same as Fire Promoting Native but within the species introduced range)	No	no real data about this as an invasive
Regenerates after fire (Does the plant regrow after fire by any means? This includes resprouters, reseeders, and recruiters which dispersed into the area within approximately one year post fire)	Yes	"Appendix 1. Eucalyptus paniculata ranked as R: Most individuals resprouted after fire" Kubiak, P. J. (2009). Fire responses of bushland plants after the January 1994 wildfires in northern Sydney. Cunninghamia, 11, 131-165.
Promoted by fire (Does the plant increase in abundance after a fire?)	No Data	
Reported flammable (Is the species described as being flammable, being a major wildfire fuel, or high fire risk?)	High	[high flammability, page 2] http://www.fire.tas.gov.au/userfiles/stuartp/file/Publication s/140835_TFSFireResistingPlants_2014_15.pdf

Relative is flammable (Does a plant in the same genus meet the Reported Flammable criteria?)	Yes	"Most eucalyptus communities in Australia have evolved in the presence of periodic fire [3]. Tasmanian bluegum is highly flammable, but is seldom killed by fire. The bark catches fire readily, and deciduous bark streamers and lichen epiphytes tend to carry fire into the canopy and to disseminate fire ahead of the main front [3,7,8,50]. Other features of Tasmanian bluegum that promote fire spread include heavy litter fall, flammable oils in the foliage, and open crowns bearing pendulous branches, which encourages maximum updraft [3,9]. Despite the presence of volatile oils that produce a hot fire, leaves of Tasmanian bluegum are classed as intermediate in their resistance to combustion, and juvenile leaves are highly resistant to flaming [11]." https://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/plants/tree/eucglo/all. html#FIRE%20EFFECTS
--	-----	--

Text in quotes are direct quotes from the source

Text in square brackets was added by the assessor to clarify something or to summarize from a figure. Text preceded by a "#" is comment from the assessor

The data presented were assembled from literature and database searches for each species using as much data as could be collected regarding the plant's fire ecology under natural conditions. Searches aimed to be exhaustive and consist of as much data as could be located in 2020. Our machine learning algorithm was trained on 49 species of plants which had their fire risk ranked by 49 managers in Hawai'i in November 2020. The model used a conditional random forest regression algorithm to predict scores for each species using the manager score as the response variable and the fire ecology traits of each plant as the predictor variables to generate a fire risk score. This trained model was then used to predict the fire risk for all species which were not ranked by managers. The model was calibrated such that it is 90% accurate at predicting high fire risk plants and 79% accurate at predicting low fire risk plants. This research and the resulting fire risk model has been published in the journal <u>Biological Invasions</u> by <u>Kevin</u> <u>Faccenda</u> and <u>Curt Daehler</u> (both at the University of Hawai'i at Mānoa).

Note that the analysis doesn't account for a plant species' spatial distribution, population density, or distinct climate and ecosystem conditions (which can also influence fire risk). The fire risk of these species are mostly under "worst case" environmental conditions where the climate is dry enough to maintain fire, but wet enough to allow for plant growth and fuel accumulation. The fire risk ranking should not be taken as a stand-alone risk metric in prioritizing weed control

efforts. Rather, this information may also be useful for determining if a newly discovered species poses a potential fire threat in wildland areas.

More general information on the weed risks and ecology of non-native plants in Hawai'i is available from the Hawai'i Invasive Species Committee's <u>Weed Risk Assessment database</u>.

View more fact sheets at <a href="https://www.pacificfireexchange.org/weed-fire-risk-assessments">https://www.pacificfireexchange.org/weed-fire-risk-assessments</a>

Fact sheet prepared by Kevin Faccenda (<u>faccenda@hawaii.edu</u>) in November 2021. Data were prepared by Kevin Faccenda in 2020.

This research was funded by the Department of the Interior Pacific Islands Climate Adaptation Science Center. The project described in this publication was supported by Grant or Cooperative Agreement No.G20AC00073 to Curt Daehler from the United States Geological Survey. The views

and conclusions contained in this document are those of the authors and should not be interpreted as representing the opinions or policies of the U.S. Geological Survey. Mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute their endorsement by the Pacific Islands Climate Adaptation Science Center or the National Climate Adaptation Science Center or the US Geological Survey.

