


## Fire risk report for *Festuca bromoides*

<b>Full Species Name</b> <i>Festuca bromoides</i> L.
<b>Family:</b> Poaceae
<b>Common names:</b> brome fescue
<b>Synonyms:</b> <i>Vulpia bromoides</i>
Known occurrences (as of 2020) 
Year first documented as naturalized in Hawai'i: 1983
This species has been ranked by the Hawai'i Weed Risk Assessment program as High Risk with a score of 18.
<a href="#">View photos on Starr Environmental</a>
<a href="#">View on Wikipedia</a>
<a href="#">View occurrences on iNaturalist</a>
<a href="#">View at Plants of Hawaii</a>
<a href="#">View photos on Flickr</a>

0                      .5                      1  
Lowest risk                      Highest risk

This species is likely a **low** fire risk in Hawai'i with a fire risk score of **0.31**.

This species was ranked by our machine learning algorithm using the data presented on the next page. A predicted score of > .34 suggests the plant is a high fire risk.

Summary of Fire ecology	
Native habitat fire proneness	No Data
Fire promoting plant in its native range	No
Fire promoting plant in its introduced range*	No
Regenerates after fire	Yes
Promoted by fire	Yes
Reported flammable*	No Data
Relative is flammable*	Yes

\*These values were used by the model to predict fire risk

## Detailed summary of Fire Ecology

Native habitat fire proneness (In any part of the plant's native range is its habitat described as fire prone due to natural or human caused fires?)	No Data	
Fire promoting plant in its native range (Does the species act as a major fuel source, increase fire severity, frequency, or modify fuel bed characteristics within its native range?)	No	[limited data from native range]
Fire promoting plant in its introduced range (Same as Fire Promoting Native but within the species introduced range)	No	
Regenerates after fire (Does the plant regrow after fire by any means? This includes resprouters, reseeder, and recruiters which dispersed into the area within approximately one year post fire)	Yes	<p>"The different of each species to heat and smoke indicates, perhaps, that <i>V. myuros</i> may be better adapted to fire than <i>V. bromoides</i>. However, field studies of the effect of fire on grasslands that contain infestations of these species demonstrate an increase in cover of this grass weed following the fire event (Lunt 1990, Figueroa et al. 2009, Figueroa &amp; Cavieres 2012). It is thought that the response of <i>V. bromoides</i> is not due to the vulnerability of its seeds to heat. Instead, any seeds present in the soil seed bank, which are not exposed to direct heat, are be available to germinate in the gaps left by the removal of other species as a result of the fire (Tozer et al. 2008)"</p> <p>Weller, S., Florentine, S., Chauhan, B. S., Mahmood, A., &amp; Florentine, A. (2019). Effects of various ecological factors on the germination of two crop and pasture weed species, <i>Vulpia bromoides</i> and <i>Vulpia myuros</i>. <i>New Zealand Plant Protection</i>, 72, 135-146.</p>

<p>Promoted by fire (Does the plant increase in abundance after a fire?)</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>"Although we do not know the degree to which fire promoted invasions in California grasslands, there are a number of nonnatives that consistently have high cover values in burned grassland areas (Klinger and Messer 2001; Parsons and Stohlgren 1989) (table 9-1). These include Eurasian annual grasses such as barbed goatgrass (<i>Aegilops triuncialis</i>), slender oat (<i>Avena barbata</i>), wild oat (<i>A. fatua</i>), ripgut brome (<i>Bromus diandrus</i>), soft brome (<i>B. hordeaceus</i>), red brome (<i>B. rubens</i>), seaside barley (<i>Hordeum marinum</i>), mouse barley (<i>H. murinum</i>), Italian ryegrass (<i>Lolium perenne</i> ssp. <i>multiflorum</i>), medusahead (<i>Taeniatherum caput-medusae</i>), brome fescue (<i>Vulpia bromoides</i>), and foxtail fescue (<i>V. myuros</i>)."</p> <p>Zouhar, K., Smith, J.K., Sutherland, S. &amp; Brooks, M.L.2008. Wildland fire in ecosystems: fire and nonnative invasive plants. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-42-vol. 6. USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Ogden, UT</p> <p>-----</p> <p>"The fire promoted abundant regeneration of exotics from seed, particularly *<i>Vulpia bromoides</i>, *<i>Romulea rosea</i>, *<i>Briza minor</i> and *<i>A. ira cupaniana</i>... The annual *<i>Vulpia bromoides</i> increased 100-fold after the fire, with up to 1150 individuals in one burnt 0.25 m2... "</p> <p><a href="https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Ian_Lunt/publication/251312215_Impact_of_an_autumn_fire_on_a_long-grazed_Themeda_triandra_Kangaroo_Grass_grassland_implications_for_management_of_invaded_remnant_vegetations/links/53e320590cf2b9d0d832ccf1/Impact-of-an-autumn-fire-on-a-long-grazed-Themeda-triandra-Kangaroo-Grass-grassland-implications-for-management-of-invaded-remnant-vegetations.pdf">https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Ian_Lunt/publication/251312215_Impact_of_an_autumn_fire_on_a_long-grazed_Themeda_triandra_Kangaroo_Grass_grassland_implications_for_management_of_invaded_remnant_vegetations/links/53e320590cf2b9d0d832ccf1/Impact-of-an-autumn-fire-on-a-long-grazed-Themeda-triandra-Kangaroo-Grass-grassland-implications-for-management-of-invaded-remnant-vegetations.pdf</a></p> <p>Lunt, I. D. (1990). Impact of an autumn fire on a long-grazed <i>Themeda triandra</i> (Kangaroo Grass) grassland: implications for management of invaded, remnant vegetations. <i>Victorian Naturalist</i>, 107(2), 45-51.</p> <p>-----</p> <p>" In particular, the germination of <i>Vulpia myuros</i> (AG) showed a slight improvement with smoke-water treatment at 1:500 (v/v). This confirms the related findings of Gómez-González and Cavieres (2009), where <i>V. myuros</i> showed greater numbers of germinants from the soil seed bank following a low-intensity fire compared to a control. "</p> <p>Abedi, M., Zaki, E., Erfanzadeh, R., &amp; Naqinezhad, A. (2018). Germination patterns of the scrublands in response to</p>
--	------------	--

		smoke: The role of functional groups and the effect of smoke treatment method. South African Journal of Botany, 115, 231-236.
Reported flammable (Is the species described as being flammable, being a major wildfire fuel, or high fire risk?)	No Data	<p>"The overwhelming majority of the nonnative grass abundance in this study was contributed by four species, including European hairgrass (<i>Aira caryophylla</i>), nitgrass (<i>Gastridium ventricosum</i>), brome fescue (<i>Vulpia bromoides</i>) and rat-tail fescue (<i>Vulpia myuros</i>) (Appendix A). These species comprised 93% and 98% of the nonnative grass abundance in the mastication and prescribed fire treatments, respectively."</p> <p>#likely adding fuels, but this is California chaparral habitat which is intrinsically flammable</p> <p><a href="https://www.fs.fed.us/psw/publications/ff/psw_2009_potts001.pdf">https://www.fs.fed.us/psw/publications/ff/psw_2009_potts001.pdf</a></p> <p>Potts, J. B., &amp; Stephens, S. L. (2009). Invasive and native plant responses to shrubland fuel reduction: comparing prescribed fire, mastication, and treatment season. <i>Biological Conservation</i>, 142(8), 1657-1664.</p>
Relative is flammable (Does a plant in the same genus meet the Reported Flammable criteria?)	Yes	<p>"Rattail sixweeks grass sometimes forms dense stands that become flashy fine fuels when stands dry and then burn in the summer or fall fire season [1,125]."</p> <p><a href="https://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/plants/graminoid/vulm_yu/all.html">https://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/plants/graminoid/vulm_yu/all.html</a></p>

Text in quotes are direct quotes from the source

Text in square brackets was added by the assessor to clarify something or to summarize from a figure.

Text preceded by a “#” is comment from the assessor

---

The data presented were assembled from literature and database searches for each species using as much data as could be collected regarding the plant’s fire ecology under natural conditions. Searches aimed to be exhaustive and consist of as much data as could be located in 2020. Our machine learning algorithm was trained on 49 species of plants which had their fire risk ranked by 49 managers in Hawai’i in November 2020. The model used a conditional random forest regression algorithm to predict scores for each species using the manager score as the response variable and the fire ecology traits of each plant as the predictor variables to generate a fire risk score. This trained model was then used to predict the fire risk for all species which were not ranked by managers. The model was calibrated such that it is 90% accurate at predicting high fire risk plants and 79% accurate at predicting low fire risk plants. This research

and the resulting fire risk model has been published in the journal [Biological Invasions](#) by [Kevin Faccenda](#) and [Curt Daehler](#) (both at the University of Hawai'i at Mānoa).

Note that the analysis doesn't account for a plant species' spatial distribution, population density, or distinct climate and ecosystem conditions (which can also influence fire risk). The fire risk of these species are mostly under "worst case" environmental conditions where the climate is dry enough to maintain fire, but wet enough to allow for plant growth and fuel accumulation. The fire risk ranking should not be taken as a stand-alone risk metric in prioritizing weed control efforts. Rather, this information may also be useful for determining if a newly discovered species poses a potential fire threat in wildland areas.

More general information on the weed risks and ecology of non-native plants in Hawai'i is available from the Hawai'i Invasive Species Committee's [Weed Risk Assessment database](#).

View more fact sheets at <https://www.pacificfireexchange.org/weed-fire-risk-assessments>

---

Fact sheet prepared by Kevin Faccenda ([faccenda@hawaii.edu](mailto:faccenda@hawaii.edu)) in November 2021. Data were prepared by Kevin Faccenda in 2020.

This research was funded by the Department of the Interior Pacific Islands Climate Adaptation Science Center. The project described in this publication was supported by Grant or Cooperative Agreement No.G20AC00073 to Curt Daehler from the United States Geological Survey. The views and conclusions contained in this document are those of the authors and should not be interpreted as representing the opinions or policies of the U.S. Geological Survey. Mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute their endorsement by the Pacific Islands Climate Adaptation Science Center or the National Climate Adaptation Science Center or the US Geological Survey.

