Fire risk report for Jatropha multifida

Full Species Name Jatropha multifida L.Family: EuphorbiaceaeCommon names: coral plantSynonyms:	0I.5Lowest risk⇔This species is likely a low fire rrisk score of 0.16.This species was ranked by ouralgorithm using the data presepredicted score of > .34 suggesrisk.	machine learning nted on the next page. A	
Known occurrences (as of 2020)	Summary of Fire ecology		
Year first documented as naturalized	Native habitat fire proneness	Non Fire-prone	
	Fire promoting plant in its native range	No	
	Fire promoting plant in its introduced range*	No	
in Hawai'i: 2009 This species has been ranked by the Hawai'i Weed Risk Assessment program as High Risk with a score of 8.	Regenerates after fire	No Data	
	Promoted by fire	No Data	
	Reported flammable*	No Data	
View photos on Starr Environmental			
View on Wikipedia	Relative is flammable* No	No	
View occurrences on iNaturalist			
View at Plants of Hawaii	*These values were used by the model to predict fire risk		
View photos on Flickr	······································		

Detailed summary of Fire Ecology

Native habitat fire proneness (In any part of the plant's native range is its habitat described as fire prone due to natural or human caused fires?)	Non Fire- prone	"Jatropha multifida L. had just one record, which was located in the municipality of Panuco in Veracruz, located in a zone of humid rainforest vegetation, which is very fragmentedIt is group with a scattered distribution in dry tropical rainforest and semi-arid areas of the northeast. This group had just eight records. The species represented in this group were: J. sotoi-nunyezii, J. gossypiifolia and J. multifida. The records were at sites with elevations below 600 m a.s.l. and in temperate subhumid [(A)C(w0) and (A)C(w1)], semi-hot humid [(A)C(m)(w)] and hot sub-humid [Aw1 Aw2(w)] climates. " https://doi.org/10.1007/s10722-012-9906-7. Fresnedo-Ramírez, J, and Q Orozco-Ramírez. "Diversity and Distribution of Genus Jatropha in Mexico." Genetic Resources and Crop Evaluation 60 (2013): 1087–1104.
Fire promoting plant in its native range (Does the species act as a major fuel source, increase fire severity, frequency, or modify fuel bed characteristics within its native range?)	No	
Fire promoting plant in its introduced range (Same as Fire Promoting Native but within the species introduced range)	No	
Regenerates after fire (Does the plant regrow after fire by any means? This includes resprouters, reseeders, and recruiters which	No Data	

dispersed into the area within approximately one year post fire) Promoted by fire (Does the plant increase in abundance after a fire?)	No Data	
Reported flammable (Is the species described as being flammable, being a major wildfire fuel, or high fire risk?)	No Data	
Relative is flammable (Does a plant in the same genus meet the Reported Flammable criteria?)	No	"As Jatropha shells have high volatile matter content, most important factors for complete combustion are sufficient air supply, sufficient retention time of the fuel gas/air mixture in the reaction zone and high combustion temperature." https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2012.08.037 # that relatives are known to have flammable seeds Kratzeisen, M, and J Müller. "Suitability of Jatropha Seed Shells as Fuel for Small-Scale Combustion Units." Renewable Energy 51 (March 2013): 46–52.

Text in quotes are direct quotes from the source

Text in square brackets was added by the assessor to clarify something or to summarize from a figure. Text preceded by a "#" is comment from the assessor

The data presented were assembled from literature and database searches for each species using as much data as could be collected regarding the plant's fire ecology under natural conditions. Searches aimed to be exhaustive and consist of as much data as could be located in 2020. Our machine learning algorithm was trained on 49 species of plants which had their fire risk ranked by 49 managers in Hawai'i in November 2020. The model used a conditional random forest regression algorithm to predict scores for each species using the manager score as the response variable and the fire ecology traits of each plant as the predictor variables to generate a fire risk score. This trained model was then used to predict the fire risk for all species which were not ranked by managers. The model was calibrated such that it is 90% accurate at predicting high fire risk plants and 79% accurate at predicting low fire risk plants. This research and the resulting fire risk model has been published in the journal <u>Biological Invasions</u> by <u>Kevin</u> <u>Faccenda</u> and <u>Curt Daehler</u> (both at the University of Hawai'i at Mānoa).

Note that the analysis doesn't account for a plant species' spatial distribution, population density, or distinct climate and ecosystem conditions (which can also influence fire risk). The fire

risk of these species are mostly under "worst case" environmental conditions where the climate is dry enough to maintain fire, but wet enough to allow for plant growth and fuel accumulation. The fire risk ranking should not be taken as a stand-alone risk metric in prioritizing weed control efforts. Rather, this information may also be useful for determining if a newly discovered species poses a potential fire threat in wildland areas.

More general information on the weed risks and ecology of non-native plants in Hawai'i is available from the Hawai'i Invasive Species Committee's <u>Weed Risk Assessment database</u>.

View more fact sheets at https://www.pacificfireexchange.org/weed-fire-risk-assessments

Fact sheet prepared by Kevin Faccenda (<u>faccenda@hawaii.edu</u>) in November 2021. Data were prepared by Ronja Steinbach and Kevin Faccenda in 2020.

This research was funded by the Department of the Interior Pacific Islands Climate Adaptation Science Center. The project described in this publication was supported by Grant or Cooperative Agreement No.G20AC00073 to Curt Daehler from the United States Geological Survey. The views

and conclusions contained in this document are those of the authors and should not be interpreted as representing the opinions or policies of the U.S. Geological Survey. Mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute their endorsement by the Pacific Islands Climate Adaptation Science Center or the National Climate Adaptation Science Center or the US Geological Survey.

