Fire risk report for Lolium arundinaceum

| Full Species NameLolium arundinaceum (Schreb.)Darbysh.Family: PoaceaeCommon names:fescue | risk score of 0 This species w algorithm usin predicted scor | | | | |
|--|--|-----------|---------|---------|--|
| Synonyms: | risk. | | | | |
| Festuca arundinacea Schedonorus arundinaceum | Summary of Fire ecology | | | | |
| | Native habita | t fire pr | oneness | No Data | |
| Known occurrences (as of 2020) | | | | | |
| | Fire promotin native range | g plant | in its | No | |
| | Fire promotir introduced ra | | in its | Yes | |
| | Regenerates | after fir | e | Yes | |
| Year first documented as naturalized in Hawai'i: 1990 | Promoted by fire | | | No | |
| This species has been ranked by the Hawai'i Weed Risk Assessment program as High Risk with a score of 17. | | | | | |
| | Reported flar | nmable | * | High | |
| | Relative is flammable* | | | No | |
| View photos on Starr Environmental | | | | | |
| View on Wikipedia | *These values were used by the model to predict fire risk | | | | |
| View occurrences on iNaturalist | | | | | |
| View at Plants of Hawaii | | | | | |
| View photos on Flickr | | | | | |

Detailed summary of Fire Ecology

| Native habitat fire proneness (In any part of the plant's native range is its habitat described as fire prone due to natural or human caused fires?) | No Data | #basically all data is from america rather than the native range |
|--|------------|---|
| Fire promoting plant in its native range (Does the species act as a major fuel source, increase fire severity, frequency, or modify fuel bed characteristics within its native range?) | No | |
| Fire promoting plant in its introduced range (Same as Fire Promoting Native but within the species introduced range) | Yes | "We suggested that tall fescue could potentially modify fire behavior because it is often alive and growing at the time of fire (McGranahan, 2012). Such moisture-rich fuel loads could cause incomplete burns, resulting in thicker litter layers developing in association with tall fescue presence. We found only a marginally significant relationship between litter depth and fescue cover While burned patches receive intense grazing pressure, unburned patches tend to "stockpile" plant biomass and litter, which, in turn provides fuel for future burns (Fuhlendorf & Engle, 2004)" # contradictory info https://core.ac.uk/reader/45660560 Jokela, K. J. (2016). An examination of the complex ecological role of tall fescue in grassland restoration. Iowa State University Thesis |
| Regenerates after fire (Does the plant regrow after fire by any means? This includes resprouters, reseeders, and recruiters which dispersed into the area | Yes | "In south-central lowa tall fescue was burned to test the effectiveness of spring fire in eliminating or suppressing cool-season grasses. Plots were within livestock exclosures constructed in 1984. A baseline inventory of plots was conducted in 1985; plot inventories were conducted after treatments were completed in 1986, 1987, and 1988. Tall fescue was burned in late March or early April. Some plots were initially burned in 1986 and some in 1987. In 1988 the |

| within approximately one year post fire) | | plots burned in 1986 were burned again. In 1986 tall fescue relative shoot frequency increased significantly (p<.10) after fire in the same year; the increase did not persist in subsequent years. Fire had no significant effect on tall fescue in any other year [54]." https://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/plants/graminoid/scha ru/all.html#FIRE%20ECOLOGY |
|---|------|---|
| Promoted by fire (Does the plant increase in abundance after a fire?) | No | "In south-central Iowa tall fescue was burned to test the effectiveness of spring fire in eliminating or suppressing cool-season grasses. Plots were within livestock exclosures constructed in 1984. A baseline inventory of plots was conducted in 1985; plot inventories were conducted after treatments were completed in 1986, 1987, and 1988. Tall fescue was burned in late March or early April. Some plots were initially burned in 1986 and some in 1987. In 1988 the plots burned in 1986 were burned again. In 1986 tall fescue relative shoot frequency increased significantly (p<.10) after fire in the same year; the increase did not persist in subsequent years. Fire had no significant effect on tall fescue in any other year [54]." https://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/plants/graminoid/scha ru/all.html#FIRE%20ECOLOGY |
| | | "Over the three years of the study, tall fescue cover was not reduced as a result of the treatments, and there was only a weak positive correlation between tall fescue and litter cover." https://core.ac.uk/reader/45660560 Jokela, K. J. (2016). An examination of the complex ecological role of tall fescue in grassland restoration. Iowa State University Thesis |
| Reported flammable (Is the species described as being flammable, being a major wildfire fuel, or high fire risk?) | High | "[areas with up to 65% cover by this species burned in controlled burn, this species must have been the domiant fuel; however, this not a natural ecosystem.]" # weak evidence McGranahan, D. A., Engle, D. M., Miller, J. R., & Debinski, D. M. (2013). An invasive grass increases live fuel proportion and reduces fire spread in a simulated grassland. Ecosystems, 16(1), 158-169. |

| | | "We suggested that tall fescue could potentially modify fire behavior because it is often alive and growing at the time of fire (McGranahan, 2012). Such moisture-rich fuel loads could cause incomplete burns, resulting in thicker litter layers developing in association with tall fescue presence. We found only a marginally significant relationship between litter depth and fescue cover While burned patches receive intense grazing pressure, unburned patches tend to "stockpile" plant biomass and litter, which, in turn provides fuel for future burns (Fuhlendorf & Engle, 2004)" # contradictory info https://core.ac.uk/reader/45660560 Jokela, K. J. (2016). An examination of the complex ecological role of tall fescue in grassland restoration. Iowa State University Thesis |
|--|----|---|
| Relative is flammable (Does a plant in the same genus meet the Reported Flammable criteria?) | No | |

Text in quotes are direct quotes from the source

Text in square brackets was added by the assessor to clarify something or to summarize from a figure. Text preceded by a "#" is comment from the assessor

The data presented were assembled from literature and database searches for each species using as much data as could be collected regarding the plant's fire ecology under natural conditions. Searches aimed to be exhaustive and consist of as much data as could be located in 2020. Our machine learning algorithm was trained on 49 species of plants which had their fire risk ranked by 49 managers in Hawai'i in November 2020. The model used a conditional random forest regression algorithm to predict scores for each species using the manager score as the response variable and the fire ecology traits of each plant as the predictor variables to generate a fire risk score. This trained model was then used to predict the fire risk for all species which were not ranked by managers. The model was calibrated such that it is 90% accurate at predicting high fire risk plants and 79% accurate at predicting low fire risk plants. This research and the resulting fire risk model has been published in the journal <u>Biological Invasions</u> by <u>Kevin</u> <u>Faccenda</u> and <u>Curt Daehler</u> (both at the University of Hawai'i at Mānoa).

Note that the analysis doesn't account for a plant species' spatial distribution, population density, or distinct climate and ecosystem conditions (which can also influence fire risk). The fire

risk of these species are mostly under "worst case" environmental conditions where the climate is dry enough to maintain fire, but wet enough to allow for plant growth and fuel accumulation. The fire risk ranking should not be taken as a stand-alone risk metric in prioritizing weed control efforts. Rather, this information may also be useful for determining if a newly discovered species poses a potential fire threat in wildland areas.

More general information on the weed risks and ecology of non-native plants in Hawai'i is available from the Hawai'i Invasive Species Committee's <u>Weed Risk Assessment database</u>.

View more fact sheets at https://www.pacificfireexchange.org/weed-fire-risk-assessments

Fact sheet prepared by Kevin Faccenda (<u>faccenda@hawaii.edu</u>) in November 2021. Data were prepared by Kevin Faccenda in 2020.

This research was funded by the Department of the Interior Pacific Islands Climate Adaptation Science Center. The project described in this publication was supported by Grant or Cooperative Agreement No.G20AC00073 to Curt Daehler from the United States Geological Survey. The views

and conclusions contained in this document are those of the authors and should not be interpreted as representing the opinions or policies of the U.S. Geological Survey. Mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute their endorsement by the Pacific Islands Climate Adaptation Science Center or the National Climate Adaptation Science Center or the US Geological Survey.

