Fire risk report for Rubus discolor

Full Species Name Rubus discolor Weihe & NeesFamily: RosaceaeCommon names: Himalayan blackberry	0I.51Lowest risk⇔Highest riskThis species is likely a low fire risk in Hawai'i with a fire risk score of 0.17.This species was ranked by 49 managers on a scale of 'no risk', 'low risk', 'medium risk', or 'high risk'. The numerical score ranges from 0 to 1 with higher scores		
Synonyms: Rubus armeniacus	indicating more managers considered it a higher risk. A score of > .31 indicates high risk.		
Known occurrences (as of 2020)	Summary of Fire ecology		
Year first documented as naturalized in Hawai'i: 1997 This species has been ranked by the Hawai'i Weed Risk Assessment program as High Risk with a score of 24.	Native habitat fire proneness	Fire-prone	
	Fire promoting plant in its native range	No	
	Fire promoting plant in its introduced range*	Yes	
	Regenerates after fire	Yes	
	Promoted by fire	Yes	
View photos on Starr Environmental	Reported flammable*	High	
View on Wikipedia	Relative is flammable* Yes	Yes	
View occurrences on iNaturalist View at Plants of Hawaii			
View photos on Flickr	*These values were used by the model to predict fire risk		

Detailed summary of Fire Ecology

Native habitat fire proneness (In any part of the plant's native range is its habitat	Fire- prone	"Rubus discolor is native to western Europe " http://www.hear.org/starr/hiplants/reports/pdf/rubus_disc olor.pdf
described as fire prone due to natural or human caused fires?)		 "Himalayan blackberry grows in vacant lots, logging sites, burned areas, along rivers, roads, fences, and railroad tracks." https://data.fs.usda.gov/research/pubs/iitf/iitf_gtr026.pdf# page=662 Francis, John. "Rubus Discolor Weihe & Nees." In Wildland Shrubs of the United States and Its Territories: Thamnic Descriptions, 652–54. USDA, 2004.
Fire promoting plant in its native range (Does the species act as a major fuel source, increase fire severity, frequency, or modify fuel bed characteristics within its native range?)	No	
Fire promoting plant in its introduced range (Same as Fire Promoting Native but within the species introduced range)	Yes	 "R. armeniacus may create a fire hazard by producing a large biomass of senesced canes" Caplan, Joshua, and Alan Yeakley. "Rubus Armeniacus (Himalayan Blackberry) Occurrence and Growth in Relation to Soil and Light Conditions in Western Oregon." Northwest Science 80, no. 1 (2006): 9.
Regenerates after fire (Does the plant regrow after fire by any means? This includes resprouters, reseeders, and recruiters which dispersed into the area within approximately one year post fire)	Yes	"Like most other Rubus species, Himalayan blackberry resprouts vigorously after fire, especially from underground rhizomes, often creating more of a blackberry issue than initially present (Willoughby and Davilla 1984). " https://ir.library.oregonstate.edu/downloads/tm70mz83f Ensley, J. L. (2015). Comparing Himalayan blackberry (Rubus armeniacus) management techniques in upland prairie communities of the WL Finley National Wildlife Refuge.
Promoted by fire (Does the plant increase in abundance after a fire?)	Yes	"With all burn-associated treatments showing either greater plant density or less of a decrease than the mow only treatment, it is evident that fire stimulates Himalayan blackberry growth"

		https://ir.library.oregonstate.edu/downloads/tm70mz83f Ensley, J. L. (2015). Comparing Himalayan blackberry (Rubus armeniacus) management techniques in upland prairie communities of the WL Finley National Wildlife Refuge.
Reported flammable (Is the species described as being flammable, being a major wildfire fuel, or high fire risk?)	High	 "R. armeniacus may create a fire hazard by producing a large biomass of senesced canes" Caplan, Joshua, and Alan Yeakley. "Rubus Armeniacus (Himalayan Blackberry) Occurrence and Growth in Relation to Soil and Light Conditions in Western Oregon." Northwest Science 80, no. 1 (2006): 9.
Relative is flammable (Does a plant in the same genus meet the Reported Flammable criteria?)	Yes	"[Rubus fruticosus L. flammability in Summer and Autumn from Table 2 was a 2: "Flammable"]" https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-8524(96)00083-1 Nunez-Regueira, Lisardo, J Anon, and J Castineiras. "Calorific Values and Flammability of Forest Species in Galica. Coastal and Hillside Zones." Bioresource Technology 57 (1996): 283–89.
		"[Rubus spp. had a score of 6, which is medium to low flammability]" http://idahofirewise.org/wp- content/uploads/2017/04/FireXResistanceXofXPlantsXMast erXDatabase.pdf

Text in quotes are direct quotes from the source

Text in square brackets was added by the assessor to clarify something or to summarize from a figure. Text preceded by a "#" is comment from the assessor

The data presented were assembled from literature and database searches for each species using as much data as could be collected regarding the plant's fire ecology under natural conditions. Searches aimed to be exhaustive and consist of as much data as could be located in 2020. Our machine learning algorithm was trained on 49 species of plants which had their fire risk ranked by 49 managers in Hawai'i in November 2020. The model used a conditional random forest regression algorithm to predict scores for each species using the manager score as the response variable and the fire ecology traits of each plant as the predictor variables to generate

a fire risk score. This trained model was then used to predict the fire risk for all species which were not ranked by managers. The model was calibrated such that it is 90% accurate at predicting high fire risk plants and 79% accurate at predicting low fire risk plants. This research and the resulting fire risk model has been published in the journal <u>Biological Invasions</u> by <u>Kevin</u> <u>Faccenda</u> and <u>Curt Daehler</u> (both at the University of Hawai'i at Mānoa).

Note that the analysis doesn't account for a plant species' spatial distribution, population density, or distinct climate and ecosystem conditions (which can also influence fire risk). The fire risk of these species are mostly under "worst case" environmental conditions where the climate is dry enough to maintain fire, but wet enough to allow for plant growth and fuel accumulation. The fire risk ranking should not be taken as a stand-alone risk metric in prioritizing weed control efforts. Rather, this information may also be useful for determining if a newly discovered species poses a potential fire threat in wildland areas.

More general information on the weed risks and ecology of non-native plants in Hawai'i is available from the Hawai'i Invasive Species Committee's <u>Weed Risk Assessment database</u>.

View more fact sheets at https://www.pacificfireexchange.org/weed-fire-risk-assessments

Fact sheet prepared by Kevin Faccenda (<u>faccenda@hawaii.edu</u>) in November 2021. Data were prepared by Ronja Steinbach and Kevin Faccenda in 2020.

This research was funded by the Department of the Interior Pacific Islands Climate Adaptation Science Center. The project described in this publication was supported by Grant or Cooperative Agreement No.G20AC00073 to Curt Daehler from the United States Geological Survey. The views

and conclusions contained in this document are those of the authors and should not be interpreted as representing the opinions or policies of the U.S. Geological Survey. Mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute their endorsement by the Pacific Islands Climate Adaptation Science Center or the National Climate Adaptation Science Center or the US Geological Survey.

