## Fire risk report for Stephania japonica

Full Species Name Stephania japonica (Thunb.) MiersFamily: MenispermaceaeCommon names: snake vineSynonyms:	0I.5Lowest risk⇔This species is likely a low fire risk score of 0.16.Image: Comparison of the species was ranked by our algorithm using the data prese predicted score of > .34 sugge risk.	r machine learning ented on the next page. A
Known occurrences (as of 2020)	Summary of Fire ecology	
	Native habitat fire proneness	No Data
25	Fire promoting plant in its native range	No
Year first documented as naturalized	Fire promoting plant in its introduced range*	No
in Hawai'i: 2006 This species has been ranked by the	Regenerates after fire	Yes
Hawai'i Weed Risk Assessment program as High Risk with a score of 13.	Promoted by fire	No Data
	Reported flammable*	No Data
View photos on Starr Environmental		
View on Wikipedia	Relative is flammable*	No
View occurrences on iNaturalist		
View at Plants of Hawaii	*These values were used by the	model to predict fire risk
View photos on Flickr	······································	·····

Detailed summary of Fire Ecology

Native habitat fire proneness (In any part of the plant's native range is its habitat described as fire prone due to natural or human caused fires?)	No Data	"its native range is Tropical & Subtropical Asia." http://www.plantsoftheworldonline.org/taxon/urn:lsid:ipni. org:names:581449-1 
Fire promoting plant in its native range (Does the species act as a major fuel source, increase fire severity, frequency, or modify fuel bed characteristics within its native range?)	No	
Fire promoting plant in its introduced range (Same as Fire Promoting Native but within the species introduced range)	No	
Regenerates after fire (Does the plant regrow after fire by any means? This includes resprouters, reseeders, and recruiters which dispersed into the area within approximately one year post fire)	Yes	"Appendix 1. Observations on fire responses (after 100% leaf scorch) of vascular plants in the Lane Cove River (LCR)" [Stephania japonica var. discolor - Fire Response - R = majority of adult plants resprouted after the fires]" Kubiak, P. J. 2009. Fire responses of bushland plants after the January 1994 wildfires in northern Sydney. Cunninghamia, 11(1): 131-165
Promoted by fire (Does the plant increase in abundance after a fire?)	No Data	

Reported flammable (Is	No
the species described	Data
as being flammable,	
being a major wildfire	
fuel, or high fire risk?)	
Relative is flammable	No
(Does a plant in the	
same genus meet the	
Reported Flammable	
criteria?)	

Text in quotes are direct quotes from the source

Text in square brackets was added by the assessor to clarify something or to summarize from a figure. Text preceded by a "#" is comment from the assessor

The data presented were assembled from literature and database searches for each species using as much data as could be collected regarding the plant's fire ecology under natural conditions. Searches aimed to be exhaustive and consist of as much data as could be located in 2020. Our machine learning algorithm was trained on 49 species of plants which had their fire risk ranked by 49 managers in Hawai'i in November 2020. The model used a conditional random forest regression algorithm to predict scores for each species using the manager score as the response variable and the fire ecology traits of each plant as the predictor variables to generate a fire risk score. This trained model was then used to predict the fire risk for all species which were not ranked by managers. The model was calibrated such that it is 90% accurate at predicting high fire risk plants and 79% accurate at predicting low fire risk plants. This research and the resulting fire risk model has been published in the journal <u>Biological Invasions</u> by <u>Kevin</u> <u>Faccenda</u> and <u>Curt Daehler</u> (both at the University of Hawai'i at Mānoa).

Note that the analysis doesn't account for a plant species' spatial distribution, population density, or distinct climate and ecosystem conditions (which can also influence fire risk). The fire risk of these species are mostly under "worst case" environmental conditions where the climate is dry enough to maintain fire, but wet enough to allow for plant growth and fuel accumulation. The fire risk ranking should not be taken as a stand-alone risk metric in prioritizing weed control efforts. Rather, this information may also be useful for determining if a newly discovered species poses a potential fire threat in wildland areas.

More general information on the weed risks and ecology of non-native plants in Hawai'i is available from the Hawai'i Invasive Species Committee's <u>Weed Risk Assessment database</u>.

View more fact sheets at https://www.pacificfireexchange.org/weed-fire-risk-assessments

Fact sheet prepared by Kevin Faccenda (<u>faccenda@hawaii.edu</u>) in November 2021. Data were prepared by Ronja Steinbach and Kevin Faccenda in 2020.

This research was funded by the Department of the Interior Pacific Islands Climate Adaptation Science Center. The project described in this publication was supported by Grant or Cooperative Agreement No.G20AC00073 to Curt Daehler from the United States Geological Survey. The views

and conclusions contained in this document are those of the authors and should not be interpreted as representing the opinions or policies of the U.S. Geological Survey. Mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute their endorsement by the Pacific Islands Climate Adaptation Science Center or the National Climate Adaptation Science Center or the US Geological Survey.

