Fire risk report for *Tabernaemontana pandacaqui*

Full Species Name Tabernaemontana pandacaqui Poir.Family: ApocynaceaeCommon names: pandakakiSynonyms:	0I.5Lowest risk⇔This species is likely a low fire risk score of 0.16.This species was ranked by our algorithm using the data preserpredicted score of > .34 suggesrisk.	machine learning nted on the next page. A	
Known occurrences (as of 2020)	Summary of Fire ecology		
	Native habitat fire proneness	Fire-prone	
	Fire promoting plant in its native range	No	
	Fire promoting plant in its introduced range*	No	
Year first documented as naturalized in Hawai'i: 2008 This species has not yet been ranked by the Hawai'i Weed Risk Assessment program as of 2020.	Regenerates after fire	No Data	
	Promoted by fire	No Data	
View photos on Starr Environmental	Reported flammable*	No Data	
View on Wikipedia	Relative is flammable* No	No	
View occurrences on iNaturalist		INU	
View at Plants of Hawaii	*These values were used by the model to predict fire risk		
View photos on Flickr			

Detailed summary of Fire Ecology

Native habitat fire proneness (In any part of the plant's native range is its habitat described as fire prone due to natural or human caused fires?)	Fire- prone	"Open forests, brushwoods; low to middle elevations. S Guangdong, Taiwan, S Yunnan [Indonesia, Malaysia, Philippines, Thailand; Australia, Pacific Islands]." http://efloras.org/florataxon.aspx?flora_id=3&taxon_id=21 0002195 "[Occurs most frequently in group 3, which has a high fire scar index, due to natural fires]" Russell-Smith, J., Lucas, D. E., Brock, J., & Bowman, D. M. J. S. (1993). Allosyncarpia-dominated rain forest in monsoonal northern Australia. Journal of Vegetation Science, 4(1), 67- 82.
Fire promoting plant in its native range (Does the species act as a major fuel source, increase fire severity, frequency, or modify fuel bed characteristics within its native range?)	No	#rainforest species
Fire promoting plant in its introduced range (Same as Fire Promoting Native but within the species introduced range)	No	#not widely introduced
Regenerates after fire (Does the plant regrow after fire by any means? This includes resprouters, reseeders, and recruiters which dispersed into the area within approximately one year post fire)	No Data	"[Occurs most frequently in group 3, which has a high fire scar index, due to natural fires]" #must regenerate assuming that forest is at equalibrium Russell-Smith, J., Lucas, D. E., Brock, J., & Bowman, D. M. J. S. (1993). Allosyncarpia-dominated rain forest in monsoonal northern Australia. Journal of Vegetation Science, 4(1), 67- 82.
Promoted by fire (Does the plant increase in abundance after a fire?)	No Data	

Reported flammable (Is	No	
the species described	Data	
as being flammable,		
being a major wildfire		
fuel, or high fire risk?)		
Relative is flammable	No	#Hawaii Weed Risk Assessment for Tabernaemontana
(Does a plant in the		elegans has no fire hazard, and unknown for
same genus meet the		Tabernaemontana divaricata and no other evidence of fire
Reported Flammable		promoting members of the genus.
criteria?)		

Text in quotes are direct quotes from the source

Text in square brackets was added by the assessor to clarify something or to summarize from a figure. Text preceded by a "#" is comment from the assessor

The data presented were assembled from literature and database searches for each species using as much data as could be collected regarding the plant's fire ecology under natural conditions. Searches aimed to be exhaustive and consist of as much data as could be located in 2020. Our machine learning algorithm was trained on 49 species of plants which had their fire risk ranked by 49 managers in Hawai'i in November 2020. The model used a conditional random forest regression algorithm to predict scores for each species using the manager score as the response variable and the fire ecology traits of each plant as the predictor variables to generate a fire risk score. This trained model was then used to predict the fire risk for all species which were not ranked by managers. The model was calibrated such that it is 90% accurate at predicting high fire risk plants and 79% accurate at predicting low fire risk plants. This research and the resulting fire risk model has been published in the journal <u>Biological Invasions</u> by <u>Kevin</u> <u>Faccenda</u> and <u>Curt Daehler</u> (both at the University of Hawai'i at Mānoa).

Note that the analysis doesn't account for a plant species' spatial distribution, population density, or distinct climate and ecosystem conditions (which can also influence fire risk). The fire risk of these species are mostly under "worst case" environmental conditions where the climate is dry enough to maintain fire, but wet enough to allow for plant growth and fuel accumulation. The fire risk ranking should not be taken as a stand-alone risk metric in prioritizing weed control efforts. Rather, this information may also be useful for determining if a newly discovered species poses a potential fire threat in wildland areas.

More general information on the weed risks and ecology of non-native plants in Hawai'i is available from the Hawai'i Invasive Species Committee's <u>Weed Risk Assessment database</u>.

View more fact sheets at https://www.pacificfireexchange.org/weed-fire-risk-assessments

Fact sheet prepared by Kevin Faccenda (<u>faccenda@hawaii.edu</u>) in November 2021. Data were prepared by Ronja Steinbach and Kevin Faccenda in 2020.

This research was funded by the Department of the Interior Pacific Islands Climate Adaptation Science Center. The project described in this publication was supported by Grant or Cooperative Agreement No.G20AC00073 to Curt Daehler from the United States Geological Survey. The views

and conclusions contained in this document are those of the authors and should not be interpreted as representing the opinions or policies of the U.S. Geological Survey. Mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute their endorsement by the Pacific Islands Climate Adaptation Science Center or the National Climate Adaptation Science Center or the US Geological Survey.

